

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/802,743	03/18/2004	Thomas Rizk	19482.0017	5360
23517	7590 08/07/200		EXAMINER	
BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP 3000 K STREET, NW			LEWIS, ALICIA M	
BOX IP	CEI, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20007		2164	
			DATE MAILED: 08/07/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Occurrence	10/802,743	RIZK ET AL.	`				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alicia M. Lewis	2164					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence	address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMI 1.136(a). In no event, however, m od will apply and will expire SIX (6) tute, cause the application to become	UNICATION.  nay a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	B March 2004						
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
· <u> </u>	ance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
• •	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applicati	_						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(a)			AM RI <b>MELL</b> RY <b>EXAMIN</b> ER				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper	r No(s)/Mail Date					
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	· —	e of Informal Patent Application (F ·:	PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/802,743 Page 2

Art Unit: 2164

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: the word "and" is missing on the last line of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: the semicolon at the end of the claim should be a period. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Haverstock et al. (US Patent 6,434,607 B1) ('Haverstock').

With respect to claims 1 and 8, Haverstock teaches:

identifying document access privileges for respective access role levels (column 5 line 56 – column 6 line 10, column 6 lines 59-65);

classifying a user with a respective access role level (column 5 lines 58-60);

Application/Control Number: 10/802,743

71/0011101 Nullibel: 10/002,11

Art Unit: 2164

determining documents to provide to the user, and functionality performable on the documents based on the respective access role level (column 3 lines 9-16, column 6 lines 2-10 and lines 59-65); and

providing the documents and the functionality performable on the documents to the user (column 5 line 63 – column 6 line 10, column 6 line 59 – column 7 line 15).

With respect to claims 2 and 9, Haverstock teaches further comprising: determining an information location to provide to the user based on the respective access role level (column 3 lines 28-54, column 6 line 59 – column 7 line 35).

Haverstock discloses that a user is permitted to access particular documents and fields based upon his/her access role level. He further discloses that the documents may be web pages, thus determining which web page and parts of a web page (fields) is equivalent to determining information location.

With respect to claims 3 and 10, Haverstock teaches further comprising: providing the information location to the user (column 3 lines 28-54, column 6 line 59 – column 7 line 35).

With respect to claims 4 and 11, Haverstock teaches wherein the information location includes one of: a document location, a communications location, and a document processing location (column 3 lines 28-54, column 6 line 59 – column 7 line 35).

Application/Control Number: 10/802,743

Art Unit: 2164

With respect to claims 5 and 12, Haverstock teaches further comprising: providing documents specific information in response to the selection of a function performable on a document (column 6 lines 2-10 and 59-65, column 7 lines 21-35).

With respect to claims 6 and 13, Haverstock teaches further comprising:

providing functionality performable on the document specific information (column 6 lines 2-10 and 59-65, column 7 lines 21-35).

With respect to claims 7 and 14, Haverstock teaches further comprising: restricting the execution of a function performable on the document specific information based on the respective access role level (column 6 lines 53-65).

With respect to claim 15, Haverstock teaches further comprising: a network coupled to the first computer (element 54 in Figure 1, column 4 lines 20-22).

With respect to claim 16, Haverstock teaches further comprising: a second computer, coupled to the network and the first computer, for accessing the first computer (element 26 in Figure 1, column 4 lines 20-22).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia M. Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-

Application/Control Number: 10/802,743 Page 5

Art Unit: 2164

5599. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9 - 6:30, alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Rones can be reached on 571-272-4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alicia Lewis August 2, 2006

SAM RIMELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER